

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | F    | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/658,280 09/08/2000    |      | 09/08/2000 | Hironobu kageyama         | 1341.1061/JDH           | 3557             |
| 21171                    | 7590 | 07/03/2002 |                           |                         |                  |
| STAAS &                  |      |            | EXAMINER                  |                         |                  |
| 700 11TH S'<br>SUITE 500 | •    |            | RIOS CUEVAS, ROBERTO JOSE |                         |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001     |      |            |                           | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                          |      |            |                           | 2836                    |                  |
|                          |      |            |                           | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | Office Action Commence  | 09/658,280  | KAGEYAMA, HIRONOBU  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Offic Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Roberto J. Rios   | 2836  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a rep<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI<br>cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>   | eptember 2000 .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi  | s action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | ion of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.  | un from consideration   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>  | in from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| · ·  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🛛   | The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 S<i>eptember 2000</i></u> is/aı   | re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob  | jected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13)⊠   | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)[  | ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| * S  | <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) 🗌 A  | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.                            |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   |   | ,, 22 2727913   | <b>.</b>  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Inf  | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/658,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Figures 5 and 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 1, 4and 5, the limitation "a control unit informing **the outside** of the result of monitoring **of each section**" render the claim indefinite. IT is not clear what the applicant meant by "the outside" and "each section". Furthermore, there is no antecedent basis for such limitations in the claims.

4. The following art rejection will be made as best understood by the Examiner in light of the above 35 USC 112 rejections.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/658,280

Art Unit: 2836

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Linde (US patent 5,745,670).

As per claims 1, 4 and 5, AAPA (Figure 5) teaches all the limitations except the control unit being also powered by the control power supply unit of another power supply unit. However, Linde teaches a power supply device comprising a plurality of power supply units (12, 12',...) parallel connected, wherein each power supply unit comprises a control unit (30) receiving power form an internal supply (12) and from the internal supplies of other power supply units through a power bus (Figure 3, col.; 5, line 13).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of AAPA with the teachings of Linde such that the control unit is also powered by the control power supply unit of another power supply unit for the purpose of enabling the control units to function independent of the operational condition of the internal supply.

As per claim 2, Linde teaches the control unit receiving inputted controlling voltage supply but does not specifically disclose a converting unit for outputting said received voltage to said control unit. However, the Examiner takes official notice that Linde's control unit inherently comprises a voltage converting unit that receives the voltage form line (24) and supplies a constant controlling voltage supply (DC) to the logic controlling gates (Figure 3).

As per claim 3, the combination of AAPA (Figure 5) in view of Linde teaches providing rush current prevention circuits (14) and (16) in the downstream side of the main power supply unit and the control power supply unit respectively for preventing a rush current from flowing into the power units. Furthermore, AAPA (Figure 6) teaches that rush current prevention circuit (42) could be provided downstream the control unit for preventing a rush current from flowing into the control unit. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide all of said rush current prevention circuits for the purpose of completely isolating the power supply units from inrush currents that could damage the power supply units.

7. Art of general nature relating to power supply parallel redundancy has been cited for applicant's review.

#### Communication with PTO

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rios whose telephone number is (703) 306-5518. In the event that Examiner Rios cannot be reached, his supervisor, Brian Sircus may be contacted at (703) 308-3119. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3432.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Roberto J. Rios Patent Examiner